

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No 159 of 1992

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE S.K.KESHOTE

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

-----  
KOKILABEN V. LOKHANDWALA

Versus

HEIRS OF C.G. GANDIWALA                      NARMADABEN C.G. LOKHANDWALA

-----  
Appearance:

MR AH MEHTA for Petitioners

MR SURESH M SHAH for Respondent No. 1

None present for other Respondents

-----  
CORAM : MR.JUSTICE S.K.KESHOTE

Date of decision: 20/11/98

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and perusing the judgment of the Apex Court in the case of Indian Bank vs. Satyam Fibres (India) Pvt. Ltd. reported in 1996 (5) SCC 550, it cannot be said that the learned trial court has committed any material irregularity in exercise of its jurisdiction in passing

of the impugned order, which calls for the interference  
of this Court under section 115, C.P.C..

The civil revision application is dismissed.  
Rule discharged. Interim relief, if any, granted by this  
Court stands vacated. No order as to costs.

\*\*\*\*\*

zgs/-